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## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Control<br>Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama

We have audited the accompanying schedule of employer allocations of the Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama (JRF) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2017, and the related notes. We have also audited the total for all entities of the columns titled 2017 net pension liability, total deferred outflows of resources, total deferred inflows of resources, and total employer pension expense (specified column totals) included in the accompanying schedule of pension amounts by employer of the Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama as of and for the year ended September 30, 2017 and the related notes

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Information

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the schedule in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the schedule that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinion on the schedule of employer allocations and the specified column totals included in the schedule of pension amounts by employer based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the schedule of pension amounts by employer are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the schedule of pension amounts by employer. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the schedule of pension amounts by employer, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditors consider internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the schedule of pension amounts by employer in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the schedule of pension amounts by employer.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion

## Opinion

In our opinion, the schedule referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the employer allocations as of and for the year ended September 30, 2017 and the 2017 net pension liability, total deferred outflows of resources, total deferred inflows of resources, and total pension expense for the total of all participating entities for the Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama as of and for the year ended September 30, 2017, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Disclaimer of Opinion on Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the accompanying schedule of pension amounts by employer of the Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama. The reconciliation of member contributions to the calculation of salary amounts used in the schedule of employer allocations for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017 and the schedule of remaining deferred outflows/(inflows) as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018, collectively referred to as "the supplemental schedules," are the responsibility of management and are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the accompanying schedule of pension amounts by employer. The supplemental schedules have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the accompanying schedule of pension amounts by employer and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on these schedules.

## Other Matter

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial statements of the Retirement Systems of Alabama as of and for the year ended September 30, 2017, and our report thereon, dated January 31, 2018, expressed an unmodified opinion on those financial statements.

## Restriction on Use

Our report is intended solely for the information and use of JRF management, members of the Board of Control, JRF plan employers and their auditors and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

## Caw, Riggs \& Ingram, L.L.C

August 20, 2018
Montgomery, Alabama

Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama
Schedule of Employer Allocations
For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2017

| County | 2017 <br> Calculated <br> Salary |  | 2017 <br> Employer <br> Allocation <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autauga | \$ | 124,148 | 0.28523\% |
| Baldwin |  | 127,869 | 0.29378\% |
| Barbour |  | 52,501 | 0.12062\% |
| Bibb |  | 76,365 | 0.17545\% |
| Blount |  | 78,805 | 0.18105\% |
| Bullock |  | 52,501 | 0.12062\% |
| Butler |  | 90,076 | 0.20695\% |
| Calhoun |  | 97,289 | 0.22352\% |
| Chambers |  | 88,213 | 0.20267\% |
| Cherokee |  | 108,995 | 0.25041\% |
| Chilton |  | 66,431 | 0.15262\% |
| Choctaw |  | 90,476 | 0.20787\% |
| Clarke |  | 80,193 | 0.18424\% |
| Clay |  | 74,112 | 0.17027\% |
| Cleburne |  | 103,379 | 0.23751\% |
| Coffee |  | 106,122 | 0.24381\% |
| Colbert |  | 73,124 | 0.16800\% |
| Conecuh |  | 110,883 | 0.25475\% |
| Coosa |  | 73,525 | 0.16892\% |
| Covington |  | 88,688 | 0.20376\% |
| Crenshaw |  | 94,468 | 0.21704\% |
| Cullman |  | 91,029 | 0.20914\% |
| Dale |  | 76,594 | 0.17597\% |
| Dallas |  | 95,714 | 0.21990\% |
| DeKalb |  | 99,193 | 0.22789\% |
| Elmore |  | 96,896 | 0.22262\% |
| Escambia |  | 74,584 | 0.17135\% |
| Etowah |  | 146,574 | 0.33675\% |
| Fayette |  | 143,041 | 0.32863\% |
| Franklin |  | 125,947 | 0.28936\% |
| Geneva |  | 77,877 | 0.17892\% |
| Greene |  | 84,222 | 0.19350\% |
| Hale |  | 100,888 | 0.23179\% |
| Henry |  | 108,448 | 0.24916\% |
| Houston |  | 106,009 | 0.24355\% |
| Jackson |  | 102,130 | 0.23464\% |
| Jefferson |  | 292,370 | 0.67172\% |
| Lamar |  | 140,344 | 0.32244\% |
| Lauderdale |  | 86,372 | 0.19844\% |
| Lawrence |  | 75,553 | 0.17358\% |
| Lee |  | 101,959 | 0.23425\% |
| Limestone |  | 103,982 | 0.23890\% |
| Lowndes |  | 80,323 | 0.18454\% |
| Macon |  | 133,817 | 0.30744\% |
| Madison |  | 104,263 | 0.23954\% |
| Marengo |  | 111,943 | 0.25719\% |
| Marion |  | 107,101 | 0.24606\% |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Schedule of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer. See Independent Auditors' Report.

Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama
Schedule of Employer Allocations
For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2017

| County | 2017 <br> Calculated Salary | 2017 <br> Employer <br> Allocation <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marshall | 81,851 | 0.18805\% |
| Mobile | 155,831 | 0.35802\% |
| Monroe | 132,380 | 0.30414\% |
| Montgomery | 103,446 | 0.23767\% |
| Morgan | 87,922 | 0.20200\% |
| Perry | 71,543 | 0.16437\% |
| Pickens | 66,358 | 0.15246\% |
| Pike | 92,600 | 0.21275\% |
| Randolph | 61,945 | 0.14232\% |
| Russell | 82,552 | 0.18966\% |
| Shelby | 121,327 | 0.27875\% |
| St. Clair | 89,235 | 0.20501\% |
| Sumter | 75,342 | 0.17310\% |
| Talladega | 85,488 | 0.19641\% |
| Tallapoosa | 48,828 | 0.11218\% |
| Tuscaloosa | 148,928 | 0.34216\% |
| Walker | 72,552 | 0.16669\% |
| Washington | 106,501 | 0.24468\% |
| Wilcox | 77,342 | 0.17769\% |
| Winston | 104,330 | 0.23968\% |
| Total for State Support |  |  |
| Provided to the Counties | \$ 6,591,637 | 15.14415\% |
| State Employer |  | 84.85585\% |
| Total State of Alabama |  | 100.00000\% |




Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama
Notes to the Schedule of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer As of and for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2017

## 1) Plan Description

The Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama (JRF), a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee retirement plan, was established as of September 18, 1973, under the provisions of Act 1163 of the Legislature of 1973 for the purpose of providing retirement allowances and other specified benefits for any Justice of the Supreme Court of Alabama, Judge of the Court of Civil Appeals, Judge of the Court of Criminal Appeals, Judge of the Circuit Court, or office holder of any newly created judicial office receiving compensation from the State Treasury. Act 1205 of the Legislature of 1975 supplemented the provisions of Act 1163 and enlarged the scope and coverage of the JRF to include District and Probate Judges. The responsibility for the general administration and operation of the JRF is vested in the Board of Control of the Employees' Retirement System of Alabama (ERS). The ERS Board of Control consists of 13 trustees as follows:

1) The Governor, ex officio.
2) The State Treasurer, ex officio.
3) The State Personnel Director, ex officio.
4) The State Director of Finance, ex officio.
5) Three vested members of ERS appointed by the Governor for a term of four years, no two of whom are from the same department of state government nor from any department of which an ex officio trustee is the head.
6) Six members of ERS who are elected by members from the same category of ERS for a term of four years as follows:
a. Two retired members with one from the ranks of retired state employees and one from the ranks of retired employees of a city, county, or a public agency each of whom is an active beneficiary of ERS.
b. Two vested active state employees.
c. Two vested active employees of an employer participating in ERS pursuant to § 36-27-6.

The JRF serves 68 units. These participating units include 67 counties and the State of Alabama.

# Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama <br> Notes to the Schedule of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer As of and for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2017 

## 1) Plan Description, continued

## Pension Benefits

The JRF benefits vest from five to eighteen years. Except for justices or judges who were either disabled, elected prior to July 30, 1979, or have at least 25 years of creditable service, no justice or judge is eligible to receive judicial service retirement pay prior to attaining age 60 . Service retirement benefits for justices and judges are dependent upon the particular office held in the judicial branch of government. A retirement benefit is payable upon the request of any member who has: (1) 25 years of creditable service (regardless of age), (2) completed 12 years of creditable service and has attained age 65 , (3) completed 15 years of creditable service and whose age plus service equals or exceeds 77 , (4) completed 10 years of creditable service and has attained age 70 or (5) been elected prior to July 30, 1979, and has 18 years of service (regardless of age). A member eligible to retire who has not requested his or her retirement benefit to commence at the end of the term in which the member's 70th birthday occurs is entitled only to the refund of his or her contributions (except for members with at least 25 years of creditable service). The service retirement benefit for circuit, appellate, and probate judges is $75 \%$ of the member's salary at the time of separation from service. The service retirement benefit for a district judge is $75 \%$ of the position's salary immediately prior to retirement.

Act 498 of the Legislature of 2015 established a new group (Group 3) of members within JRF which consists of all justices, judges, circuit clerks, and district attorneys elected or appointed on or after November 8, 2016. Group 3 members are eligible for retirement after age 62 with 10 years or more of creditable service and are entitled to an annual retirement benefit, payable monthly for life. A district attorney who has Tier 1 ERS transferred service as an assistant or deputy district attorney is eligible for service retirement with 25 years of service credit, regardless of age. Service and disability retirement benefits are calculated using a retirement formula. Group 3 members who are judges or justices are allowed $4 \%$ of their average final compensation (highest 5 of the last 10 years) for each year of service up to $75 \%$ of their average final compensation. Group 3 members who are clerks or district attorneys are allowed 3\% of their average final compensation (highest 5 of the last 10 years) for each year of service up to $80 \%$ of their average final compensation.

## Member and Employer Contributions

JRF members contributed $6 \%$ of earnable compensation as required by statute until September 30, 2011. From October 1, 2011, to September 30, 2012, JRF members were required by statute to contribute $8.25 \%$ of earnable compensation. Effective October 1, 2012, JRF members are required by statute to contribute $8.50 \%$ of earnable compensation. Group 3 members of the JRF contribute $8.50 \%$ of earnable compensation to the JRF as required by statute.

## 2) Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The schedules are presented in accordance with the standards issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). As prescribed by GASB, they are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting.

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the JRF and additions to/deductions from the fiduciary net position of the JRF have been determined on the same basis as they are reported. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosure in the financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

## 3) Net Pension Liability

The net pension liability of $\$ 154,349,340$ was measured as of September 30, 2017. The total pension liability is based on the actuarial valuation as of September 30, 2016. The expected total pension liability is determined as of September 30, 2017, using standard roll-forward techniques as follows:

|  | Expected |  | Actual |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Pension Liability as of 9/30/2016 (a) | \$ | 451,003 | \$ | 446,921 |
| Entry Age Normal Cost* for 10/1/2016-9/30/2017 (b) | \$ | 9,815 | \$ | 9,815 |
| Actual Benefit Payments for 10/1/2016-9/30/2017 (c ) | \$ | 33,160 | \$ | 33,160 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Pension Liability } \\ & \text { as of } 9 / 30 / 2017 \\ & {[(\text { a }) \times(1.0775)]+(\text { b) }-[(\text { c }) \times(1 .( } \end{aligned}$ | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 461,326 \\ & )] \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 456,927 |
|  <br> Actual Experience (Gain)/Loss |  |  | \$ | $(4,399)$ |

*Also called the Service Cost.

The components of the net pension liability determined in accordance with GASB Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans, as of September 30, 2017, were as follows:

| Total Pension Liability | $\$ 456,927,472$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less: Plan Net Position | $(302,578,132)$ |
| Net Pension Liability | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 4 , 3 4 9 , 3 4 0}$ |

Plan Net Position as a Percentage
of the Total Pension Liability $\mathbf{6 6 . 2 2 \%}$

## 4) Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of September 30, 2016, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

| Inflation | $2.75 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Projected Salary Increases | $3.25 \%-3.50 \%$ |
| Investment Rate of Return | $7.75 \%$ |

The actuarial assumptions used in the actuarial valuation as of September 30, 2016, were based on the results of an investigation of the economic and demographic experience for the TRS, ERS, and JRF based upon participant data as of September 30, 2015, completed by the RSA and its actuaries. The purpose of the investigation was to assess the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions and methods currently used by the RSA. This investigation resulted in changes to the actuarial assumptions. The Boards of Control for each accepted and approved these changes in September 2016, which became effective at the beginning of fiscal year 2016.

Mortality rates for JRF were based on the White Collar Mortality Table projected to 2020 using Scale BB and adjusted $115 \%$ for males and $112 \%$ for females age 78 and older. The rates of disabled mortality were based on the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table projected to 2020 using Scale BB and adjusted $105 \%$ for males and $120 \%$ for females.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a lognormal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target asset allocation and best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class are as follows:

|  | Target <br> Allocation | Long-Term <br> Expected <br> Rate of <br> Return |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $22.00 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ |  |
| Fixed Income | $41.00 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ |  |
| U.S. Large Stocks | $11.00 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ |  |
| U.S. Mid Stocks | $3.00 \%$ | $11.00 \%$ |  |
| U.S. Small Stocks | $12.00 \%$ | $9.50 \%$ |  |
| International Developed Market Stocks | $3.00 \%$ | $11.00 \%$ |  |
| International Emerging Market Stocks | $1.00 \%$ | $10.10 \%$ |  |
| Alternatives | $2.00 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ |  |
| Real Estate |  | $5.00 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ |
| Cash |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |  |

*Includes assumed rate of inflation of $2.50 \%$.

# Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama <br> Notes to the Schedule of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer As of and for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2017 

## 4) Actuarial Assumptions, continued

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was $7.75 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that employer contributions will be made at rates equal to the difference between actuarially determined contribution rates and the member rate. Based on those assumptions, components of the pension plan's fiduciary net position were projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

The following table presents the net pension liability of the JRF calculated using the discount rate of $7.75 \%$, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current rate:

Current

| $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \text { Decrease } \\ (6.75 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Discount Rate (7.75\%) | $1 \%$ Increase ( $8.75 \%$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 196,531,954 | \$ 154,349,340 | \$117,851,290 |

## 5) Proportionate Share

Collective amounts have been allocated based on the proportionate share associated with each participating employer. The State makes contributions to JRF for its employees and also on behalf of employees of the participating county employers. Therefore, these employers are considered to be in a special funding situation as defined by GASB Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions, and the State is treated as a non-employer contributing entity in JRF. Since the counties do not contribute directly to the JRF, there is no NPL or deferred inflows or outflows to report in the financial statements of the counties. However, the notes to the financial statements must disclose the portion of the non-employer contributing entities' total proportionate share of the collective NPL that is associated with the employer. In addition, each county must recognize the total PE associated with the county as well as revenue in an amount equal to the non-employer contributing entities' total proportionate share of the collective PE associated with the county.

The proportionate share percentages for the State as the employer and the State as a non-employer contributing entity have been calculated based on actual contributions to the Fund as shown in the following table:

| Contribution Type | Amount | Proportionate Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employer Contributions related to |  |  |
| Special Funding Employers | \$ 2,631,005 | 15.14415\% |
| Employer Contributions related to |  |  |
| State Employer | 14,742,076 | 84.85585\% |
| Total Employer Contributions | \$ 17,373,081 | 100.00000\% |

## 5) Proportionate Share, continued

The proportionate share percentages for each employer in a special funding situation have been determined by allocating the total proportionate share for these employers based on the total salaries of the employees of each employer.

## 6) Additional Financial and Actuarial Information

Additional financial information supporting the preparation of the Schedule of Employer Allocations and the Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer (including the disclosure of the net pension liability and the unqualified audit opinion on the financial statements) is located in RSA's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017. The supporting actuarial information is included in the GASB Statement No. 67 Report for the JRF prepared as of September 30, 2017. The additional financial and actuarial information is available at www.rsa-al.gov.

## Supplementary Schedules

# Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama <br> Reconciliation of Member Contributions to Calculation of Salary Amounts <br> For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2017 

| County | Total Member Contributions |  | Adjustments |  | Annual <br> Contributions to Calculate Salary |  | Calculated Salary* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autauga | \$ | 10,552.56 | \$ | - | \$ | 10,552.56 | \$ | 124,147.76 |
| Baldwin |  | 9,963.14 |  | 905.74 |  | 10,868.88 |  | 127,869.18 |
| Barbour |  | 4,462.56 |  | - |  | 4,462.56 |  | 52,500.71 |
| Bibb |  | 6,491.04 |  | - |  | 6,491.04 |  | 76,365.18 |
| Blount |  | 6,698.40 |  | - |  | 6,698.40 |  | 78,804.71 |
| Bullock |  | 4,462.56 |  | - |  | 4,462.56 |  | 52,500.71 |
| Butler |  | 8,023.00 |  | (366.52) |  | 7,656.48 |  | 90,076.24 |
| Calhoun |  | 8,269.55 |  | - |  | 8,269.55 |  | 97,288.82 |
| Chambers |  | 7,498.14 |  | - |  | 7,498.14 |  | 88,213.41 |
| Cherokee |  | 9,264.60 |  | - |  | 9,264.60 |  | 108,995.29 |
| Chilton |  | 5,646.60 |  | - |  | 5,646.60 |  | 66,430.59 |
| Choctaw |  | 7,690.44 |  | - |  | 7,690.44 |  | 90,475.76 |
| Clarke |  | 6,816.42 |  | - |  | 6,816.42 |  | 80,193.18 |
| Clay |  | 6,299.54 |  | - |  | 6,299.54 |  | 74,112.24 |
| Cleburne |  | 8,787.24 |  | - |  | 8,787.24 |  | 103,379.29 |
| Coffee |  | 9,020.40 |  | - |  | 9,020.40 |  | 106,122.35 |
| Colbert |  | 6,215.52 |  | - |  | 6,215.52 |  | 73,123.76 |
| Conecuh |  | 10,210.46 |  | (785.42) |  | 9,425.04 |  | 110,882.82 |
| Coosa |  | 6,249.60 |  | - |  | 6,249.60 |  | 73,524.71 |
| Covington |  | 7,538.44 |  | - |  | 7,538.44 |  | 88,687.53 |
| Crenshaw |  | 8,029.75 |  | - |  | 8,029.75 |  | 94,467.65 |
| Cullman |  | 7,737.50 |  | - |  | 7,737.50 |  | 91,029.41 |
| Dale |  | 6,510.48 |  | - |  | 6,510.48 |  | 76,593.88 |
| Dallas |  | 8,135.66 |  | - |  | 8,135.66 |  | 95,713.65 |
| DeKalb |  | 8,431.44 |  | - |  | 8,431.44 |  | 99,193.41 |
| Elmore |  | 8,236.18 |  | - |  | 8,236.18 |  | 96,896.24 |
| Escambia |  | 6,339.60 |  | - |  | 6,339.60 |  | 74,583.53 |
| Etowah |  | 12,458.76 |  | - |  | 12,458.76 |  | 146,573.65 |
| Fayette |  | 12,158.52 |  | - |  | 12,158.52 |  | 143,041.41 |
| Franklin |  | 10,705.50 |  | - |  | 10,705.50 |  | 125,947.06 |
| Geneva |  | 6,619.56 |  | - |  | 6,619.56 |  | 77,877.18 |
| Greene |  | 7,158.84 |  | - |  | 7,158.84 |  | 84,221.65 |
| Hale |  | 8,575.44 |  | - |  | 8,575.44 |  | 100,887.53 |
| Henry |  | 9,218.04 |  | - |  | 9,218.04 |  | 108,447.53 |
| Houston |  | 9,010.77 |  | - |  | 9,010.77 |  | 106,009.06 |
| Jackson |  | 8,681.02 |  | - |  | 8,681.02 |  | 102,129.65 |
| Jefferson |  | 24,851.76 |  | - |  | 24,851.76 |  | 292,373.65 |
| Lamar |  | 11,929.20 |  | - |  | 11,929.20 |  | 140,343.53 |
| Lauderdale |  | 7,341.60 |  | - |  | 7,341.60 |  | 86,371.76 |
| Lawrence |  | 6,421.99 |  | - |  | 6,421.99 |  | 75,552.82 |
| Lee |  | 8,666.52 |  | - |  | 8,666.52 |  | 101,959.06 |
| Limestone |  | 8,838.48 |  | - |  | 8,838.48 |  | 103,982.12 |
| Lowndes |  | 6,827.42 |  | - |  | 6,827.42 |  | 80,322.59 |
| Macon |  | 11,374.44 |  | - |  | 11,374.44 |  | 133,816.94 |

# Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama Reconciliation of Member Contributions to Calculation of Salary Amounts <br> For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2017 

| County | Total Member Contributions | Adjustments | Annual <br> Contributions to <br> Calculate Salary | Calculated Salary* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madison | 8,862.34 | - | 8,862.34 | 104,262.82 |
| Marengo | 9,515.16 | - | 9,515.16 | 111,943.06 |
| Marion | 9,103.56 | - | 9,103.56 | 107,100.71 |
| Marshall | 6,957.36 | - | 6,957.36 | 81,851.29 |
| Mobile | 13,245.60 | - | 13,245.60 | 155,830.59 |
| Monroe | 11,252.31 | - | 11,252.31 | 132,380.12 |
| Montgomery | 8,792.94 | - | 8,792.94 | 103,446.35 |
| Morgan | 7,473.36 | - | 7,473.36 | 87,921.88 |
| Perry | 6,081.14 | - | 6,081.14 | 71,542.82 |
| Pickens | 5,640.44 | - | 5,640.44 | 66,358.12 |
| Pike | 7,870.98 | - | 7,870.98 | 92,599.76 |
| Randolph | 5,265.36 | - | 5,265.36 | 61,945.41 |
| Russell | 7,016.89 | - | 7,016.89 | 82,551.65 |
| Shelby | 10,312.80 | - | 10,312.80 | 121,327.06 |
| St. Clair | 7,584.95 | - | 7,584.95 | 89,234.71 |
| Sumter | 6,404.09 | - | 6,404.09 | 75,342.24 |
| Talladega | 7,266.48 | - | 7,266.48 | 85,488.00 |
| Tallapoosa | 4,150.40 | - | 4,150.40 | 48,828.24 |
| Tuscaloosa | 12,658.88 | - | 12,658.88 | 148,928.00 |
| Walker | 6,166.92 | - | 6,166.92 | 72,552.00 |
| Washington | 9,052.56 | - | 9,052.56 | 106,500.71 |
| Wilcox | 6,574.08 | - | 6,574.08 | 77,342.12 |
| Winston | 8,868.05 | - | 8,868.05 | 104,330.00 |
| Total for State Support |  |  |  |  |
| Provided to the Counties | 560,535.33 | (246.20) | 560,289.13 | 6,591,636.82 |
| State of Alabama | 3,151,018.77 | - | 3,151,018.77 | 37,070,809.06 |
|  | 3,711,554.10 | \$ (246.20) | \$ 3,711,307.90 | \$ 43,662,445.88 |

## Member Purchased Service

```
Member Contributions
    per CAFR $ 3,711,554.10
```

*Differences between Calculated Salary above and Calculated Salary per the Schedule of Employer Allocations are attributable to rounding and are considered immaterial.

| County | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2023 |  | Thereafter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autauga | \$ | $(3,497)$ | \$ | 8,137 | \$ | (14,744) | \$ | $(6,477)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Baldwin |  | 438 |  | 11,750 |  | $(11,288)$ |  | $(9,138)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Barbour |  | $(1,480)$ |  | 3,437 |  | $(6,231)$ |  | $(2,741)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Bibb |  | $(1,482)$ |  | 5,669 |  | $(9,066)$ |  | $(4,585)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Blount |  | $(2,657)$ |  | 3,262 |  | $(4,389)$ |  | 7,960 |  | - |  | - |
| Bullock |  | $(1,480)$ |  | 3,437 |  | $(6,231)$ |  | $(2,741)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Butler |  | 1,214 |  | 8,606 |  | $(6,061)$ |  | $(9,914)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Calhoun |  | 4,394 |  | 11,941 |  | $(3,322)$ |  | $(12,506)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Chambers |  | 1,695 |  | 8,389 |  | $(7,974)$ |  | $(3,747)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Cherokee |  | $(1,314)$ |  | 8,475 |  | $(12,950)$ |  | $(7,350)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Chilton |  | $(1,353)$ |  | 4,609 |  | $(7,892)$ |  | $(3,980)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Choctaw |  | 3,074 |  | 10,697 |  | $(4,959)$ |  | $(8,113)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Clarke |  | $(1,019)$ |  | 5,872 |  | $(13,334)$ |  | 1,354 |  | - |  | - |
| Clay |  | $(2,939)$ |  | 3,127 |  | $(16,282)$ |  | 4,484 |  | - |  | - |
| Cleburne |  | 105 |  | 8,862 |  | $(9,554)$ |  | $(9,253)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Coffee |  | 3,176 |  | 12,107 |  | $(7,149)$ |  | $(10,437)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Colbert |  | 1,704 |  | 6,674 |  | $(8,685)$ |  | $(7,581)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Conecuh |  | 7,028 |  | 14,210 |  | $(13,168)$ |  | $(15,563)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Coosa |  | 1,562 |  | 8,442 |  | $(3,920)$ |  | $(12,104)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Covington |  | 5,996 |  | 14,264 |  | 4,668 |  | $(34,190)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Crenshaw |  | 6,349 |  | 13,417 |  | $(4,011)$ |  | $(11,163)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Cullman |  | 5,311 |  | 12,380 |  | $(3,485)$ |  | $(7,652)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Dale |  | 1,024 |  | 8,788 |  | $(4,996)$ |  | 1,385 |  | - |  | - |
| Dallas |  | 11,030 |  | 12,992 |  | $(12,166)$ |  | $(11,556)$ |  | - |  | - |
| DeKalb |  | 5,109 |  | 12,064 |  | $(11,786)$ |  | $(7,029)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Elmore |  | 3,653 |  | 10,010 |  | $(8,886)$ |  | $(9,023)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Escambia |  | (824) |  | 5,742 |  | $(8,861)$ |  | $(6,021)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Etowah |  | $(4,131)$ |  | 9,603 |  | $(17,409)$ |  | $(7,641)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Fayette |  | 554 |  | 13,529 |  | $(13,446)$ |  | $(12,521)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Franklin |  | $(2,268)$ |  | 9,527 |  | $(14,952)$ |  | $(7,629)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Geneva |  | (959) |  | 5,719 |  | $(9,245)$ |  | $(5,302)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Greene |  | 8,621 |  | 15,525 |  | 15,239 |  | $(40,205)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Hale |  | 705 |  | 8,385 |  | $(11,973)$ |  | $(8,815)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Henry |  | $(4,977)$ |  | 6,983 |  | $(11,885)$ |  | $(1,223)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Houston |  | 1,886 |  | 11,109 |  | $(9,948)$ |  | 23,914 |  | - |  | - |
| Jackson |  | 3,247 |  | 12,412 |  | $(2,306)$ |  | $(19,998)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Jefferson |  | $(8,243)$ |  | 19,141 |  | $(34,725)$ |  | $(15,205)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Lamar |  | $(2,849)$ |  | 9,749 |  | $(16,664)$ |  | $(6,002)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Lauderdale |  | $(2,707)$ |  | 3,241 |  | $(5,016)$ |  | 10,297 |  | - |  | - |
| Lawrence |  | $(6,685)$ |  | 1,310 |  | $(16,588)$ |  | 8,248 |  | - |  | - |
| Lee |  | 1,622 |  | 10,542 |  | $(7,703)$ |  | $(2,449)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Limestone |  | 2,008 |  | 10,573 |  | $(12,340)$ |  | $(4,658)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Lowndes |  | 3,554 |  | 11,056 |  | 6,579 |  | $(15,091)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Macon |  | $(3,767)$ |  | 8,770 |  | $(15,888)$ |  | $(6,987)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Madison |  | 1,145 |  | 6,464 |  | $(12,403)$ |  | $(9,991)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Marengo |  | 6,746 |  | 20,322 |  | 18,163 |  | $(19,948)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Marion |  | 15,094 |  | 16,072 |  | $(12,715)$ |  | $(23,704)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Marshall |  | $(2,132)$ |  | 5,368 |  | $(9,717)$ |  | 1,198 |  | - |  | - |
| Mobile |  | $(4,390)$ |  | 10,210 |  | $(18,499)$ |  | $(8,132)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Monroe |  | 5,828 |  | 18,197 |  | 10,795 |  | $(24,827)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Montgomery |  | $(5,990)$ |  | 1,633 |  | $(22,709)$ |  | 7,777 |  | - |  | - |
| Morgan |  | $(1,070)$ |  | 7,162 |  | $(10,442)$ |  | $(5,593)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Perry |  | $(4,590)$ |  | 2,112 |  | $(15,700)$ |  | 6,061 |  | - |  | - |
| Pickens |  | $(2,638)$ |  | 2,805 |  | $(14,366)$ |  | 7,285 |  | - |  | - |
| Pike |  | $(18,892)$ |  | $(3,065)$ |  | $(16,895)$ |  | 26,756 |  | - |  | - |
| Randolph |  | $(1,744)$ |  | 4,057 |  | $(7,352)$ |  | $(3,232)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Russell |  | $(2,324)$ |  | 5,409 |  | $(9,804)$ |  | $(4,308)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Shelby |  | $(5,810)$ |  | 5,674 |  | $(17,524)$ |  | 3,359 |  | - |  | - |
| St. Clair |  | 96 |  | 7,607 |  | $(10,601)$ |  | $(7,175)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Sumter |  | 1,131 |  | 8,115 |  | (288) |  | $(9,918)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Talladega |  | $(2,406)$ |  | 5,604 |  | $(10,154)$ |  | $(4,462)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Tallapoosa |  | $(14,101)$ |  | $(9,437)$ |  | $(45,805)$ |  | 6,680 |  | - |  | - |
| Tuscaloosa |  | $(8,166)$ |  | 5,115 |  | $(32,702)$ |  | 11,255 |  | - |  | - |
| Walker |  | $(2,040)$ |  | 4,757 |  | $(8,613)$ |  | $(3,792)$ |  | - |  | - |


| Judicial Retirement Fund of Alabama <br> Schedule of Remaining Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) <br> As of and for the Fiscal Year Ending September 30, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2023 |  | Thereafter |  |
| Washington |  | 905 |  | 9,874 |  | $(9,932)$ |  | $(3,885)$ |  | - |  |  |
| Wilcox |  | 3,233 |  | 9,280 |  | $(9,184)$ |  | $(6,055)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Winston |  | $(7,605)$ |  | 3,000 |  | $(20,803)$ |  | 6,167 |  | - |  | - |
| Total for State Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provided to the Counties |  | $(19,292)$ |  | 550,869 |  | $(682,242)$ |  | $(367,432)$ |  | - |  | - |
| State Employer |  | $(648,164)$ |  | 2,687,651 |  | 4,115,943 |  | $(1,892,089)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Total State of Alabama | \$ | $(667,456)$ | \$ | 3,238,520 | \$ | 3,433,701 | \$ | $(2,259,521)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - |

