

The experience and dedication you deserve



**GASB STATEMENT NO. 68 REPORT** 

FOR THE

ALABAMA JUDICIAL RETIREMENT FUND

PREPARED AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019





The experience and dedication you deserve

April 6, 2020

Board of Control Alabama Judicial Retirement Fund Montgomery, Alabama

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Presented in this report is information to assist the Alabama Judicial Retirement Fund (JRF) in meeting the requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68 and to identify the information to be provided by the actuary, Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting (CMC). The information presented is for the period ending September 30, 2019 (the Measurement Date).

GASB Statement Number 68 established accounting and financial reporting requirements for governmental employers that provide pension benefits to their employees through a trust.

The annual actuarial valuation used as a basis for much of the information presented in this report was performed as of September 30, 2018. The valuation was based upon data, furnished by the JRF staff, for active, inactive and retired members along with pertinent financial information.

The actuarial calculations were performed by qualified actuaries according to generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, as well as in conformity with Actuarial Standards of Practice issued by the Actuarial Standards Board. The calculations are based on the current provisions of the Plan, and on actuarial assumptions that are, internally consistent and individually reasonable based on the actual experience of the Plan. In addition, the calculations were completed in compliance with the laws governing the Plan and, in our opinion, meet the requirements of GASB 68. Larry Langer is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and meets the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.



Board of Control April 6, 2020 Page 2

These results are only for financial reporting and may not be appropriate for funding purposes or other types of analysis. Calculations for purposes other than satisfying the requirements of GASB 67 and GASB 68 may produce significantly different results. Future actuarial results may differ significantly from the current results presented in this report due to such factors as changes in plan experience or changes in economic or demographic assumptions.

Sincerely yours,

Larry Langer, ASA, EA, FCA, MAAA Principal and Consulting Actuary

Edward J. Koebel, EA, FCA, MAAA Chief Executive Officer

Edward J. Worbel

Cathy Turcot Principal and Managing Director

lathy Turcot



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>Section</u>	<u>ltem</u>	Page No.
1	Introduction	1
II	Summary of Principal Results	3
III	Notes to Financial Statements	4
IV	Pension Expense	10
V	Required Supplementary Information	13
<u>Schedule</u>		
A	Schedule of Employer Allocations	14
В	Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer	16
С	Schedule of Discount Rate Sensitivity, Amortization of Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) and Employer Contribution	18
D	Summary of Main Plan Provisions	20
E	Statement of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods	25
F	Funding Policy of the JRF Board of Control	28



# REPORT OF THE ANNUAL GASB STATEMENT NO. 68 REQUIRED INFORMATION FOR THE EMPLOYERS PARTCIPATING IN THE ALABAMA JUDICIAL RETIREMENT FUND

#### PREPARED AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019

#### **SECTION I – INTRODUCTION**

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued Statement No. 68 (GASB 68), "Accounting and Financial Reporting For Pensions" in June 2012. GASB 68's effective date is for an employer's fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2014. The Alabama Judicial Retirement Fund (JRF) is a cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plan in a special funding situation.

This report, prepared as of September 30, 2019 (the Measurement Date), presents information to assist the employers participating in JRF in meeting the requirements of GASB 68 for the fiscal year ending in 2020 (Reporting Date). Much of the material provided in this report is based on the data, assumptions and results of the annual actuarial valuation of JRF as of September 30, 2018. The results of the valuation were detailed in a report dated June 7, 2019.

The NPL shown in the GASB Statement No. 67 Report for the Alabama Judicial Retirement Fund Prepared as of September 30, 2019, and submitted February 28, 2020, is the collective NPL used for purposes of GASB 68. Please refer to that report for the derivation of the collective NPL.

Pension Expense (PE) includes amounts for service cost (the normal cost under the Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method for the year), interest on the Total Pension Liability (TPL), changes in benefit structure, amortization of increases/decreases in liability due to actuarial experience and actuarial assumption changes, and amortization of investment gains/losses. The actuarial experience and assumption change impacts are amortized over the average expected remaining service life of the Plan membership as of the Measurement Date, and investment gains/losses are amortized over five years. The development of the collective PE is shown in Section IV.

The unrecognized portions of each year's experience, assumption changes and investment gains/losses are used to develop deferred inflows and outflows, which also must be included in the employer's financial statements. The development of the collective deferred inflows and outflows is shown in Section III.

These collective amounts have been allocated based on the proportionate share associated with each participating employer. The State makes contributions to JRF for its employees and also on behalf of employees of the participating county employers. Therefore, these employers are considered to be in a special funding situation as defined by GASB 68 and the State is treated as a non-employer contributing entity in JRF. Since the counties do not contribute directly to the JRF, there is no NPL or deferred inflows or outflows to report in the financial statements of the counties. However, the notes to the financial statements must disclose the portion of the non-employer contributing entities' total proportionate share of the collective NPL that is associated with the employer. In addition, each county must recognize the total PE associated with the county as well as revenue in an amount equal to the non-employer contributing entities' total proportionate share of the collective PE associated with the county.



The proportionate share percentages for the State as the employer and the State as a non-employer contributing entity, have been calculated based on actual contributions to the Fund as shown in the following table:

Contribution Type	<u>Amount</u>	Proportionate Share
Employer Contributions related to special funding employers	\$ 2,588,572	14.36368%
Employer Contributions related to State employer	15,433,081	<u>85.63632%</u>
Total Employer Contributions	\$ 18,021,653	100.00000%

The proportionate share percentages for each employer in a special funding situation have been determined by allocating the total proportionate share for these employers based on the total salaries of the employees of each employer.

Schedule A of this report shows amount of salaries for the employees of each county employer for the years ending September 30, 2018, and September 30, 2019. Schedule A also shows the proportionate share percentages that have been determined based on these salaries.

Based on these percentages we have determined the proportionate share amounts of the NPL, PE and Deferred Inflows and Outflows for each participating employer. These amounts are shown in Schedule B.

Section II of this report is a summary of the principal results of the collective amounts under GASB 68. Section III provides the results of all the necessary calculations, presented in the order laid out in GASB 68 for note disclosure and Section V shows the Required Supplementary Information (RSI).



# **SECTION II - SUMMARY OF COLLECTIVE AMOUNTS**

	2019	2018
Valuation Date:	September 30, 2018	September 30, 2017
Measurement Date:	September 30, 2019	September 30, 2018
Reporting Date:	September 30, 2020	September 30, 2019
Single Equivalent Interest Rate (SEIR):		
Long-Term Expected Rate of Return	7.65%	7.65%
Municipal Bond Index Rate	2.81%	4.09%
Fiscal Year in which Plan's Fiduciary Net Position is projected to be depleted from		
future benefit payments for current members	N/A	N/A
Single Equivalent Interest Rate	7.65%	7.65%
Net Pension Liability:		
Total Pension Liability (TPL)	\$ 463,450,742	\$ 462,040,053
Plan Fiduciary Net Position (FNP)	<u>312,541,402</u>	<u>317,316,314</u>
Net Pension Liability (NPL = TPL - FNP)	\$ 150,909,340	\$ 144,723,739
FNP as a percentage of TPL	67.44%	68.68%
Collective Pension Expense (PE):	\$ 18,462,473	\$ 14,604,543
Deferred Outflows of Resources:	\$ 3,260,564	\$ 7,376,258
Deferred Inflows of Resources:	\$ 9,052,741	\$ 18,913,216



#### **SECTION III – NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The material presented herein will follow the order presented in GASB 68. Paragraph numbers are provided for ease of reference. Amounts are shown in aggregate. Please refer to Schedule B of this report for the proportionate share of certain pension amounts as required by GASB 68.

Paragraphs 77 and 78(a)-(f): These paragraphs require information to be disclosed regarding the actuarial assumptions used to measure the TPL. The complete set of actuarial assumptions utilized in developing the TPL are outlined in Schedule E.

The TPL was determined by an actuarial valuation as of September 30, 2018, using the following key assumptions:

Inflation 2.75 percent

Projected Salary increases 3.25 - 3.50 percent, including inflation

Investment rate of return 7.65 percent, net of pension plan investment expense,

including inflation

Mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 White Collar Mortality Table projected to 2020 using scale BB and adjusted 115% for males and 112% for females older than 78. The rates of disabled mortality were based on the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table projected to 2020 using scale BB and adjusted 105% for males and 120% for females.

The actuarial assumptions for purposes of determining the TPL were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period October 1, 2010 – September 30, 2015 and a discount rate of 7.65%, as adopted by the Board of Trustees on December 19, 2018.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a log-normal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target asset allocation and best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class, as provided by RSA, are summarized in the following table:



Asset Class	Target Allocation	Long-Term Expected Rate of Return*
Fixed Income US Large Stocks US Mid Stocks US Small Stocks Int'l Developed Mkt Stocks Int'l Emerging Mkt Stocks Real Estate Cash Equivalents	25.0% 34.0% 8.0% 3.0% 15.0% 3.0% 10.0% 	5.0% 9.0% 12.0% 15.0% 11.0% 16.0% 7.5%
Total	<u>100.0%</u>	

<sup>\*</sup>Includes assumed rate of inflation of 2.50%.

Discount rate. The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.65 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that employer contributions will be made at rates equal to the difference between actuarially determined contribution rates and the member rate. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

**Paragraph 78 (g):** This paragraph requires disclosure of the sensitivity of the NPL to changes in the discount rate. The following presents the NPL of the Fund, calculated using the discount rate of 7.65 percent, as well as what the Fund's NPL would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.65 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.65 percent) than the current rate:

	1%	Current	1%
	Decrease	Discount Rate	Increase
	(6.65%)	(7.65%)	(8.65%)
Net Pension Liability	\$192,969,325	\$150,909,340	\$114,436,944

**Paragraph 80(a):** This paragraph requires disclosure of the employer's proportionate share of the collective NPL and if an employer has a special funding situation the portion of the non-employer contributing entities' proportional share of the collective NPL that is associated with the employer. These amounts are shown in Schedule B.

**Paragraph 80(b):** This paragraph requires disclosure of the employer's proportion (percentage) of the collective NPL and the changes in proportion since the prior measurement date. These amounts are shown for all entities in Schedule A.



**Paragraph 80(c):** September 30, 2018, is the actuarial valuation date upon which the TPL is based. An expected TPL is determined as of September 30, 2019, using standard roll forward techniques. The procedure used to determine the TPL as of September 30, 2019, is shown on page 7 of the GASB 67 report for JRF submitted on February 28, 2020.

**Paragraph 80(g):** Please see Section IV of this report for the development of the collective pension expense. The PE associated with each employer is shown in Schedule B.

Paragraph 80(h): Since certain expense items are amortized over closed periods each year, the deferred portions of these items must be tracked annually. If the amounts serve to reduce pension expense they are labeled deferred inflows. If they will increase pension expense they are labeled deferred outflows. The amortization of these amounts is accomplished on a level dollar basis, with no interest included in the deferred amounts. Experience gains/losses and the impact of changes in actuarial assumptions, if any, are amortized over the average expected remaining service life of the active and inactive Plan members at the beginning of the fiscal year. Investment gains and losses are amortized over a fixed five year period.

The table below provides a summary of the collective deferred inflows and outflows as of the Measurement Date. The allocation of the collective deferred inflows and outflows is provided in Schedule B.

	Collective Deferred Outflows of Resources	Collective Deferred Inflows of Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$0	\$9,052,741
Changes of actuarial assumptions	1,983,683	0
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on plan investments	<u>1,276,881</u>	0
Total	<u>\$3,260,564</u>	<u>\$9,052,741</u>

The following tables show the components of the collective deferred outflows of resources and the collective deferred inflows of resources by year.



		Col	lective Deferred	Outflows and Beginning		ferences betwe	een Expected	and Actual Ex	perience	Ending	Balance
	Initial Balance of Losses / Deferred Outflow	Initial Balance of Gains / Deferred Inflow	Amortization Period	Deferred Outflows (a)	Deferred Inflows (b)	Losses / Deferred Outflows (c)	Gains / Deferred Inflows (d)	Amounts Recognized in Pension Expense / Deferred Outflow (e)	Amounts Recognized in Pension Expense / Deferred Inflow (f)	Deferred Outflows (a) + (c) - (e)	Deferred Inflows _(b) + (d) - (f)
2019	\$0	\$4,517,687	3.9	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,517,687	\$0	\$1,158,381	\$0	\$3,359,306
2018	0	9,133,889	4.1	0	6,906,111	0	0	0	2,227,778	0	4,678,333
2017	0	4,398,778	3.9	0	2,142,994	0	0	0	1,127,892	0	1,015,102
2016	0	2,487,337	4.0	0	621,835	0	0	0	621,835	0	0
2015	0	7,391,432	4.1	0	180,280	0	0	0	180,280	0	0
Total				\$0	\$9,851,220	\$0	\$4,517,687			\$0	\$9,052,741

			Collective D	eferred Outflo	ws and Inflow	s for Difference	es from Assu	mption Change	es .		
				Beginning	Balance					Ending	Balance
Year	Initial Balance of Losses / Deferred Outflow	Initial Balance of Gains / Deferred Inflow	Amortization Period	Deferred Outflows (a)	Deferred Inflows (b)	Losses / Deferred Outflows (c)	Gains / Deferred Inflows (d)	Amounts Recognized in Pension Expense / Deferred Outflow (e)	Amounts Recognized in Pension Expense / Deferred Inflow (f)	Deferred Outflows (a) + (c) - (e)	Deferred Inflows (b) + (d) - (f)
2019	\$0	\$0	3.9	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2018	3,872,903	0	4.1	2,928,293	0	0	0	944,610	0	1,983,683	0
2017	0	0	3.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2016	17,791,866	0	4.0	4,447,965	0	0	0	4,447,965	0	0	0
2015	0	0	4.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total				\$7,376,258	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$1,983,683	\$0

			Collective I	Deferred Outfle	ows and Inflov	vs for Differenc	es in Investm	ent Experience			
				Beginning	g Balance					Ending	Balance
	Initial Balance of Losses / Deferred Outflow	Initial Balance of Gains / Deferred Inflow	Amortization Period	Deferred Outflows (a)	Deferred Inflows (b)	Losses / Deferred Outflows (c)	Gains / Deferred Inflows (d)	Amounts Recognized in Pension Expense / Deferred Outflow (e)	Amounts Recognized in Pension Expense / Deferred Inflow (f)	Deferred Outflows (a) + (c) - (e)	Deferred Inflows (b) + (d) - (f)
2019	\$12,655,563	\$0	5.0	\$0	\$0	\$12,655,563	\$0	\$2,531,113	\$0	\$10,124,450	\$0
2018	0	4,674,943	5.0	0	3,739,954	0	0	0	934,989	0	2,804,965
2017	0	11,297,613	5.0	0	6,778,567	0	0	0	2,259,523	0	4,519,044
2016	0	7,617,808	5.0	0	3,047,122	0	0	0	1,523,562	0	1,523,560
2015	22,518,239	0	5.0	4,503,647	0	0	0	4,503,647	0	0	0
Total				\$4,503,647	\$13,565,643	\$12,655,563	\$0			\$10,124,450	\$8,847,569
				Net differe	nce between p	rojected and act	ual earnings o	n investments		\$1,276,881	



			Summary	of Amortization	of Deferred Outflo	ws and Inflows o	of Resources			
Amortization		Actual a	and Expected Exp	perience			Assu	mption Changes	S	
Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
2021	\$0	\$0	(\$1,015,102)	(\$2,227,778)	(\$1,158,381)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$944,610	\$0
2022	0	0	0	(\$2,227,778)	(\$1,158,381)	0	0	0	944,610	0
2023	0	0	0	(\$222,777)	(\$1,042,544)	0	0	0	94,463	0
2024	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2025	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thereafter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	\$0	\$0	(\$1,015,102)	(\$4,678,333)	(\$3,359,306)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,983,683	\$0

	Summary of Amortization of Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources							
Amortization		Inve	stment Gains/Lo	sses				
Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total		
2021	\$0	(\$1,523,560)	(\$2,259,523)	(\$934,989)	\$2,531,113	(\$5,643,610)		
2022	0	0	(2,259,521)	(\$934,989)	\$2,531,113	(\$3,104,946)		
2023	0	0	0	(\$934,987)	\$2,531,113	\$425,268		
2024	0	0	0	0	2,531,111	\$2,531,111		
2025	0	0	0	0	0	\$0		
Thereafter	0	0	0	0	0	\$0		
TOTAL	\$0	(\$1,523,560)	(\$4,519,044)	(\$2,804,965)	\$10,124,450	(\$5,792,177)		



**Paragraph 80(i):** Collective amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows (100% of these amounts are allocated to the State):

	Deferred Amounts to be Recognized in Fiscal Years Following the Reporting Date:						
Year 1	(\$5,643,610)						
Year 2	(3,104,946)						
Year 3	425,268						
Year 4	2,531,111						
Year 5	0						
Thereafter	0						

**Paragraph 80(j):** The amount of revenue recognized for the support provided by the non-employer contributing entity for the participating employers is provided in Schedule B.



#### **SECTION IV - PENSION EXPENSE**

As noted earlier, the collective Pension Expense (PE) consists of a number of different items. GASB 68 refers to the first as Service Cost which is the Normal Cost using the Entry Age Normal actuarial funding method. The second item is interest on the beginning Total Pension Liability (TPL) and the cash flow during the year at the 7.65% rate of return in effect as of the previous measurement date.

The next three items refer to any changes that occurred in the TPL due to:

- · benefit changes, or
- · actual versus expected experience, or
- · changes in actuarial assumptions.

Benefit changes, which are reflected immediately in PE, can be positive, if there is a benefit enhancement for existing Plan members, or negative if there is a benefit reduction. For the year ended September 30, 2019, there were no benefit changes to be recognized.

The next item to be recognized is the portion of current year changes in TPL due to actual versus expected Plan experience for the year. The portion to recognize in the current year is determined by spreading the total change over the average expected remaining service life of the entire Plan membership determined at the beginning of the year. The average expected remaining service life of active members is the average number of years the active members are expected to remain active. For the year ended September 30, 2019 this number of years for the active members is 9.0. The average expected remaining service life of the inactive members is zero. The number of years to use for the amortization is the weighted average for all active and inactive members, or 3.9 years. The amount to be recognized due to actual versus expected experience for the year is (\$1,158,381).

The last item under changes in TPL are changes in actuarial assumptions since the last measurement date. Recognition of the change in TPL due to changes in actuarial assumptions, is also spread over the average expected remaining service life of the plan membership. The amount to be recognized due to changes in assumptions for the year is \$0 because there were no assumption changes.

Member contributions for the year and projected earnings on the Fiduciary Net Position (FNP), determined at the discount rate used to calculate the liabilities, are subtracted from the amount determined thus far. One-fifth of current period differences between projected and actual investment earnings on the FNP are recognized in the pension expense. The projected earnings on the FNP, the current difference between projected and actual investment earnings on the FNP, and the amount recognized due to this difference are calculated as shown in the following table.



Investment Earnings (Gain)/Loss  Determined as of the Measurement Date					
a. Expected asset return rate	7.65%				
b. Beginning of year FNP (BOY)	\$ 317,316,314				
c. End of year FNP	312,541,402				
d. Expected return on BOY for the plan year (a x b)	24,274,698				
e. External Cash Flow					
(i) Employer contributions	18,021,653				
(ii) Member contributions	4,101,223				
(iii) Refunds of contributions	(646,441)				
(iv) Benefit Payments	(36,909,073)				
(v) Administrative expenses	(357,439)				
(vi) Other	0				
(vii) Total net external cash flow	(15,790,077)				
f. Expected return on net cash flow (a x 0.5 x (e(vii) – e(vi))) + (a x e(vi))	(603,970)				
g. Projected earnings for plan year (d + f)	23,670,728				
h. Net investment income $(c - b - e(vii))$ 11,015,165					
i. Investment earnings (gain)/loss (g – h)	<u>\$ 12,655,563</u>				
j. Amount recognized in Pension Expense (i / 5)	\$ 2,531,113				

The current year portions of previously determined experience, assumption and earnings amounts, recognized as deferred outflows and inflows (see Section III) are included also. Deferred outflows are added to the PE while deferred inflows are subtracted from the PE. Administrative expenses and other miscellaneous items are also included in the PE.



The calculation of the Collective Pension Expense determined as of the measurement date is shown in the following table:

Collective Pension Expense Determined as of the Measurement Date	
Service Cost at end of year	\$ 9,574,324
Interest on the TPL and net cash flow	33,909,566
Current-period benefit changes	0
Expensed portion of current-period difference between expected and actual experience in the total pension liability	(1,158,381)
Expensed portion of current-period changes of assumptions	0
Member contributions	(4,101,223)
Projected earnings on plan investments	(23,670,728)
Expensed portion of current-period differences between projected and actual earnings on plan investments	2,531,113
Administrative expense	357,439
Other	0
Recognition of beginning deferred outflows of resources as pension expense	5,392,575
Recognition of beginning deferred inflows of resources as pension expense	(4,372,212)
Collective Pension Expense	<u>\$ 18,462,473</u>



#### SECTION V - REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Paragraphs 81(a)-(b): This information was supplied in individual employer reporting.

#### Paragraph 82:

**Changes of benefit terms.** The member contribution rate was increased from 6.00% of salary to 8.25% of salary on October 1, 2011, and to 8.50% of salary on October 1, 2012.

All justices, judges, and circuit clerks elected or appointed on or after November 8, 2016 are covered under a new benefit structure. In addition, district attorneys serving in the capacity of district attorney on or after that date will also become members of the Fund and will be covered under the new structure as follows:

- (i) A service retirement allowance is payable upon the request of any member who has attained age 62 and completed at least 10 years of creditable service.
- (ii) Upon service or disability retirement a member who is a judge with years of service less than 18 years, receives a retirement allowance equal to 4% of the member's average final compensation (the 5 highest years in the last 10 years of creditable service) multiplied by the number of years of creditable service, not to exceed 75% of average final compensation. For a member who is a judge with 18 or more years of service, the allowance is 75% of the member's average final compensation.
- (iii) Upon service or disability retirement a member who is a clerk or a district attorney receives a retirement allowance equal to 3% of the member's average final compensation (the 5 highest years in the last 10 years of creditable service), not to exceed 80% of average final compensation.

#### Changes of assumptions.

In 2018, the discount rate was changed from 7.75% to 7.65%.

In 2016, rates of retirement, disability and mortality were adjusted to more closely reflect actual experience. In 2016, economic assumptions and the assumed rates of salary increase were adjusted to more closely reflect actual and anticipated experience. In 2016 the expectation of retired life mortality was changed to the RP-2000 White Collar Mortality Table projected to 2020 using scale BB and adjusted 115% for males and 112% for females older than 78.

In 2010 and later, the expectation of retired life mortality was changed to the RP-2000 Mortality Tables rather than the 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table, which was used prior to 2010. In 2010, rates of withdrawal, retirement, disability and mortality were adjusted to more closely reflect actual experience. In 2010, assumed rates of salary increase were adjusted to more closely reflect actual and anticipated experience.



# **SCHEDULE A**

# Alabama Judicial Retirement Fund Schedule of Employer Allocations For the Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 2018 and September 30, 2019

		2019 Employer		
	2018 Calculated	Allocation	2019 Calculated	Allocation
County	Salary	Percentage	Salary	Percentage
Autauga	\$ 124,148	0.28377%	\$ 112,612	0.24600%
Baldw in	129,357	0.29567%	126,088	0.27544%
Barbour	52,501	0.12000%	64,424	0.14073%
Bibb	76,365	0.17455%	80,457	0.17576%
Blount	78,805	0.18012%	84,068	0.18365%
Bullock	52,501	0.12000%	52,163	0.11395%
Butler	90,076	0.20589%	90,076	0.19677%
Calhoun	99,979	0.22852%	107,768	0.23542%
Chambers	88,213	0.20163%	89,978	0.19656%
Cherokee	96,313	0.22014%	108,997	0.23810%
Chilton	66,431	0.15184%	82,145	0.17945%
Choctaw	92,737	0.21197%	95,056	0.20765%
Clarke	81,796	0.18696%	83,432	0.18226%
Clay	74,112	0.16940%	73,311	0.16015%
Cleburne	103,379	0.23629%	103,899	0.22697%
Coffee	108,775	0.24863%	110,951	0.24237%
Colbert	73,124	0.16714%	74,540	0.16283%
Conecuh	110,883	0.25345%	92,483	0.20203%
Coosa	75,641	0.17289%	75,733	0.16544%
Covington	91,246	0.20856%	93,105	0.20339%
Crenshaw	97,359	0.22253%	95,358	0.20831%
Cullman	93,997	0.21485%	100,682	0.21994%
Dale	78,127	0.17858%	79,178	0.17296%
Dallas	110,851	0.25337%	95,020	0.20757%
DeKalb	101,689	0.23243%	104,055	0.22731%
Elmore	97,868	0.22370%	97,752	0.21354%
Escambia	76,663	0.17523%	76,663	0.16747%
Etow ah	146,574	0.33502%	126,348	0.27601%
Fayette	144,277	0.32977%	100,472	0.21948%
Franklin	125,947	0.28788%	129,725	0.28338%
Geneva	77,877	0.17800%	89,140	0.19473%
Greene	83,701	0.19132%	87,191	0.19047%
Hale	105,723	0.24165%	105,933	0.23141%
Henry	108,448	0.24788%	114,722	0.25061%
Houston	106,050	0.24240%	110,128	0.24057%
Jackson	106,842	0.24421%	108,140	0.23623%
Jefferson	292,370	0.66828%	295,122	0.64469%
Lamar	121,993	0.27884%	92,379	0.20180%
Lauderdale	87,871	0.20085%	89,373	0.19523%
Lauderdale	75,669	0.17296%	74,994	0.16382%
Lawrence	·	0.17296%	•	0.16362%
Limestone	104,675 107,102	0.23926%	108,992 107,602	0.23506%



			2018 Employer		2019 Employer
	20	18 Calculated	Allocation	2019 Calculated	Allocation
County		Salary	Percentage	Salary	Percentage
Low ndes		83,029	0.18978%	90,820	0.19840%
Macon		136,222	0.31136%	112,089	0.24486%
Madison		104,269	0.23833%	112,109	0.24490%
Marengo		112,396	0.25690%	115,768	0.25289%
Marion		107,101	0.24480%	82,089	0.17932%
Marshall		81,851	0.18709%	81,851	0.17880%
Mobile		155,831	0.35618%	160,132	0.34981%
Monroe		125,970	0.28793%	108,428	0.23686%
Montgomery		95,489	0.21826%	105,692	0.23088%
Morgan		90,656	0.20721%	91,275	0.19939%
Perry		71,543	0.16353%	72,304	0.15795%
Pickens		66,358	0.15167%	66,358	0.14496%
Pike		92,600	0.21166%	95,798	0.20927%
Randolph		61,945	0.14159%	63,930	0.13965%
Russell		82,552	0.18869%	89,474	0.19546%
Shelby		103,136	0.23574%	102,844	0.22466%
St. Clair		91,314	0.20872%	94,190	0.20576%
Sumter		75,642	0.17290%	75,643	0.16524%
Talladega		86,771	0.19833%	88,055	0.19236%
Tallapoosa		36,621	0.08370%	63,712	0.13918%
Tuscaloosa		148,928	0.34041%	143,834	0.31420%
Walker		72,552	0.16583%	78,736	0.17200%
Washington		107,021	0.24462%	107,542	0.23493%
Wilcox		77,342	0.17678%	70,474	0.15395%
Winston		<u>106,868</u>	0.24427%	<u>111,881</u>	0.24440%
Total for State Support Provided	d to				
the Counties	\$	<u>6,592,062</u>	<u>15.06751%</u>	\$ <u>6,575,283</u>	<u>14.36368%</u>
State Employer			84.93249%		<u>85.63632%</u>
Total State of Alabama			100.00000%		<u>100.00000%</u>



#### **SCHEDULE B**

# Alabama Judicial Retirement Fund Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer As of and for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020 with Net Pension Liability as of September 30, 2019

Deferred Outflows of Resources Deferred Inflows of Resources Deferred Amounts Changes in Changes in from Changes in Net Difference Proportion Proportion Proportion Betw een and Differences and Differences and Differences Projected Betw een Betw een Betw een Employer Employer Difference and Actual Total Differences Total Employer Proportionate Betw een Investment Contributions Deferred Betw een Contributions Deferred Share of Contributions Total Expected Earnings on and Proportionate Outflow s Expected and Proportionate Inflow s Plan and Proportionate Employer and Actual Pension Plan and Actual Change of Pension Share of Net Pension Change of Share of Share of Pension of of Liability 2019 Contributions Contributions County Investments Assumptions Resources Experience Assumptions Contributions Resources Expense Expense Autauga 371,237 4,880 8,021 45,418 (17,072) \$ 3,141 \$ \$ 22,270 \$ 45,414 \$ 67,684 \$ 28,346 415,665 5,464 Baldw in 3,517 2.439 11,420 24,935 23,506 48,441 50,853 (5,916)44,937 212,375 2.792 24,089 28,678 12,740 13,387 25.983 7,484 33,467 Barbour 1,797 647 Bibb 265,238 2,244 3,487 1,405 7,136 15,911 940 16,851 32,449 (639)31,810 **Blount** 277,145 2,345 3,643 5,483 11,471 16,625 756 17,381 33,905 8,318 42,223 Bullock 171,961 1,455 2,260 3,715 10,316 7,675 17,991 21,038 (3,245)17,793 Butler 296,944 2,513 3,903 1,244 7,660 17,813 11,459 29,272 36,327 (3,390)32,937 Calhoun 355.271 3.006 4.670 14.464 22.140 21.312 21.312 43.464 7.796 51,260 Chambers 296.627 2.510 3.899 562 6.971 17.794 6,738 24.532 36.289 411 36,700 Cherokee 359.315 3.040 4.723 20,868 28,631 21,555 24,928 46,483 43.961 (5,647)38,314 Chilton 270,807 2,291 3.560 32.081 37,932 16,245 819 17,064 33,130 10,028 43,158 Choctaw 313,363 2,651 4,947 11,717 18,798 38,338 3,060 41,398 4,119 5,021 23,819 Clarke 275,047 2,327 3,615 2,213 8,155 16,500 6,907 23,407 33,651 (231)33,420 2.045 Clav 241.681 3.177 5.222 14.498 14.065 28.563 29.566 (4.984)24.582 Cleburne 342.519 2.898 4.502 592 7.992 20.547 11.823 32.370 41.905 (4.352)37.553 Coffee 365.759 3.095 4.808 5.384 13.287 21.941 7.274 29.215 44.747 1.753 46.500 Colbert 245.726 2.079 3.230 5.309 14.741 5.908 20.649 30.064 (2,870)27,194 Conecuh 304.882 2.580 4.008 6.588 18.289 61,109 79.398 37.299 (21,959)15,340 249.664 2.112 3.282 4.575 14.977 23.633 30.544 28.553 Coosa 9.969 8.656 (1,991)2.597 4.035 18.412 6.007 37.550 (3,706)33,844 Covington 306.935 8.547 15.179 24.419 Crenshaw 314,359 2.660 4.132 6,525 13.317 18,858 16,523 35,381 38.459 648 39,107 Cullman 331,910 2,808 4,363 12,666 19,837 19,911 19,911 40,607 9,640 50,247 Dale 261,013 2,208 3,431 3,232 8,871 15,658 6,530 22,188 31,935 4,460 36,395 Dallas 313,243 2,650 4,118 27,230 33,998 18,791 53,736 72,527 38,324 (3,274)35,050 DeKalb 343,032 2,902 4,509 3,693 11,104 20,578 6,221 26,799 41,967 1,794 43,761 Elmore 322,252 2,727 4,236 1,456 8,419 19,331 11,805 31,136 39,424 (2,159)37,265 Escambia 252,728 2,138 3,322 3,156 8,616 15,161 9,222 24,383 30,920 (2,836)28,084 416,525 3,524 5,475 24,986 70,376 50,958 (25.932)25,026 Etow ah 8,999 95,362 331,216 2,802 4,354 40,521 (43,611)(3.090)Fayette 1,675 8,831 19,869 128,149 148,018 52,321 Franklin 427,647 3,618 5,621 9,239 25,654 6,778 32,432 (3,592)48,729 Geneva 293,866 2,486 3,863 19,440 25,789 17,628 960 18,588 35,951 5,486 41,437 Greene 287,437 2,432 3,778 7,883 14,093 17,243 2,761 20,004 35,167 (1,454)33,713 Hale 349,219 2,955 4,590 8,021 15,566 20,949 12,170 33,119 42,724 (1,397)41,327 Henry 378,194 3,200 4,971 3,194 11,365 22,687 1,041 23,728 46,270 1,235 47,505 3,072 4,772 21,778 3,060 24,838 44,415 16,301 60,716 Houston 363,043 558 8,402 43,614 43,900 Jackson 356,493 3,016 4.686 10,663 18,365 21.385 9,272 30.657 286 89,365 119,022 (14,002)105,020 Jefferson 972,898 8,236 12,787 21,023 58,360 31,005



			Defer	red Outflows	of Resources			Deferred Inf	lows of Resources			Pension Expense	
	Net Pension	Difference Between Expected	Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment Earnings on Pension Plan		Changes in Proportion and Differences Betw een Employer Contributions and Proportionate Share of	Total Deferred Outflow's of	Differences Between Expected and Actual	Change of	Changes in Proportion and Differences Betw een Employer Contributions and Proportionate Share of	Total Deferred Inflows of	Proportionate Share of Plan Pension	Deferred Amounts from Changes in Proportion and Differences Between Employer Contributions and Proportionate Share of	Total Employer Pension
<u>County</u>	Liability 2019	<b>Experience</b>	Investments	Assumptions	<u>Contributions</u>	Resources	<u>Experience</u>	Assumptions	<u>Contributions</u>	Resources	<u>Expense</u>	<u>Contributions</u>	<u>Expense</u>
Lamar	304,535	-	2,577	4,003	-	6,580	18,268	-	125,368	143,636	37,256	(48,097)	(10,841)
Lauderdale	294,620	-	2,493	3,873	3,410	9,776	17,674	-	6,531	24,205	36,046	7,384	43,430
Law rence	247,220	-	2,092	3,250	-	5,342	14,830	-	13,781	28,611	30,246	(4,863)	25,383
Lee	359,300	-	3,040	4,723	5,213	12,976	21,554	-	1,360	22,914	43,958	6,392	50,350
Limestone	354,727	-	3,001	4,663	4,799	12,463	21,279	-	11,600	32,879	43,397	220	43,617
Lowndes	299,404	-	2,533	3,936	19,240	25,709	17,961	-	-	17,961	36,628	10,400	47,028
Macon	369,517	-	3.127	4,857	3,189	11,173	22,167	_	77,634	99,801	45,207	(26,603)	18,604
Madison	369,577	_	3,127	4,858	7,634	15,619	22,170	-	1,275	23,445	45,215	525	45,740
Marengo	381,635	_	3,229	5,017	9,804	18,050	22,893	_	4,896	27,789	46,690	11,473	58,163
Marion	270,611		2,290	3,557	-	5,847	16,233	_	77,401	93,634	33,107	(27,908)	5,199
Marshall	269,826		2,283	3,547	_	5,830	16,186	_	10,637	26,823	33,011	(1,785)	31,226
Mobile	527.896	_	4.467	6.939	_	11,406	31.667	_	9.326	40.993	64,583	(4,983)	59.600
Monroe	357,444		3,024	4,699	8,161	15,884	21,442		72,528	93,970	43,729	(18,655)	25,074
Montgomery	348,419		2.948	4,580	14,664	22,192	20,901		19,425	40,326	42,626	(4,130)	38,496
Morgan	300,898	_	2,546	3,955	4,239	10,740	18.050	_	9,328	27,378	36,812	(1,817)	34,995
Perry		-	2,017	3,133	4,239	5,150	14,299	-	9,679	,	29,162	, , ,	
•	238,361	-		,	-	,		-		23,978		(3,474)	25,688
Pickens	218,758	-	1,851	2,876	-	4,727	13,123	-	10,703	23,826	26,762	(2,098)	24,664
Pike	315,808	-	2,672	4,151	-	6,823	18,945	-	5,813	24,758	38,637	2,202	40,839
Randolph	210,745	-	1,783	2,770		4,553	12,642	-	3,016	15,658	25,784	(1,742)	24,042
Russell	294,967	-	2,496	3,877	7,866	14,239	17,694	-	1,014	18,708	36,085	1,424	37,509
Shelby	339,033	-	2,869	4,457	-	7,326	20,338	-	49,200	69,538	41,479	(20,462)	21,017
St. Clair	310,511	-	2,627	4,082	3,018	9,727	18,627	-	3,684	22,311	37,987	(645)	37,342
Sumter	249,363	-	2,110	3,278	2,577	7,965	14,959	-	9,062	24,021	30,508	(862)	29,646
Talladega	290,289	-	2,456	3,816	1,562	7,834	17,414	-	7,173	24,587	35,514	(2,592)	32,922
Tallapoosa	210,036	-	1,777	2,761	64,464	69,002	12,600	-	36,163	48,763	25,697	(11,724)	13,973
Tuscaloosa	474,157	-	4,012	6,233	-	10,245	28,444	-	37,112	65,556	58,010	(13,072)	44,938
Walker	259,564	-	2,196	3,412	7,170	12,778	15,571	-	898	16,469	31,755	1,338	33,093
Washington	354,531	-	3,000	4,660	581	8,241	21,268	-	11,308	32,576	43,373	(841)	42,532
Wilcox	232,325	-	1,966	3,054	-	5,020	13,937	-	27,480	41,417	28,423	(8,506)	19,917
Winston	368,822		3,121	4,848	3,885	11,854	22,125		2,990	25,115	45,123	(209)	44,914
Total for State Support	<u>\$ 21,676,135</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 183,407</u>	<u>\$ 284,930</u>	<u>\$ 409,761</u>	<u>\$ 878,098</u>	<u>\$1,300,307</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 1,275,646</u>	<u>\$ 2,575,953</u>	<u>\$ 2,651,890</u>	\$ (259,269)	<u>\$_2,392,621</u>
State Employer	129,233,205		1,093,474	1,698,753	880,157	3,672,384	7,752,434		14,272	7,766,706	15,810,583	259,269	16,069,852
Total State of Alabama	\$150,909,340	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$1,276,881</u>	<u>\$ 1,983,683</u>	<u>\$ 1,289,918</u>	<u>\$ 4,550,482</u>	\$9,052,741	<u>s -</u>	<u>\$ 1,289,918</u>	<u>\$10,342,659</u>	<u>\$18,462,473</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$18,462,473</u>



# **SCHEDULE C**

# Alabama Judicial Retirement Fund Schedule of Remaining Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) As of and for the Fiscal Year Ending September 30, 2020

<u>County</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2025</u>	<u>Thereafter</u>
Autauga	\$ (29,922) \$	, , ,	\$ (12,630) \$	•	\$ -	\$ -
Baldw in	(22,017)	(15,926)	(6,050)	6,972	-	-
Barbour	(18)	3,696	8,050	3,563	-	-
Bibb	(9,991)	(5,321)	1,148	4,449	-	-
Blount	(7,928)	(4,647)	2,017	4,648	-	-
Bullock	(9,236)	(6,201)	(1,722)	2,883	-	-
Butler	(13,926)	(10,175)	(2,492)	4,981	-	-
Calhoun	(6,205)	(2,608)	3,682	5,959	-	-
Chambers	(12,965)	(8,537)	(1,034)	4,975	-	-
Cherokee	(18,269)	(11,924)	6,316	6,025	-	-
Chilton	450	5,188	10,688	4,542	-	-
Choctaw	(10,250)	(6,590)	(517)	5,255	-	-
Clarke	(12,560)	(6,488)	(816)	4,612	-	-
Clay	(15,689)	(9,016)	(2,690)	4,054	-	-
Cleburne	(16,424)	(11,254)	(2,444)	5,744	-	_
Coffee	(12,857)	(8,166)	(1,039)	6,134	-	_
Colbert	(11,449)	(7,116)	(896)	4,121	-	_
Conecuh	(32,813)	(27,380)	(17,732)	5,115	-	_
Coosa	(9,439)	(6,584)	(1,828)	4,187	-	_
Covington	(7,048)	(6,527)	(814)	5,149	-	_
Crenshaw	(13,269)	(10,039)	(4,028)	5,272	-	_
Cullman	(6,057)	(2,578)	2,994	5,567	-	_
Dale	(9,894)	(6,611)	(1,188)	4,376	-	_
Dallas	(17,618)	(11,830)	(14,334)	5,253	-	_
DeKalb	(13,393)	(7,350)	(704)	5,752	-	_
Elmore	(15,127)	(10,283)	(2,712)	5,405	-	_
Escambia	(11,263)	(6,806)	(1,936)	4,238	-	_
Etow ah	(40,292)	(32,883)	(20,174)	6,986	-	_
Fayette	(55,387)	(50,562)	(38,794)	5,556	_	_
Franklin	(18,712)	(11,175)	(478)	7,172	_	_
Geneva	(4,855)	301	6,826	4,929	-	_
Greene	(4,051)	(7,099)	419	4,820	_	_
Hale	(13,616)	(7,468)	(2,326)	5,857	_	_
Henry	(13,522)	(7,183)	2,000	6,342	_	_
Houston	(14,198)	(8,649)	321	6,090	_	_
Jackson	(9,943)	(6,824)	(1,504)	5,979	_	_
Jefferson	(47,982)	(30,806)	(5,878)	16,324	_	_
Lamar	(59,530)	(54,024)	(28,611)	5,109	_	_
Lauderdale	(10,887)	(7,380)	(1,104)	4,942	_	_
Lawrence	(15,802)	(8,989)	(2,625)	4,147	_	_
Lee	(10,828)	(5,921)	785	6,026	_	_
Limestone	(15,165)	(8,915)	(2,285)	5,949	_	_



				2221		<b>-</b> i
<u>County</u>	<u>2021</u>	2022	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2025</u>	<u>Thereafter</u>
Low ndes	(752)	(676)	4,155	5,021	-	-
Macon	(39,309)	(32,728)	(22,789)	6,198	-	-
Madison	(11,949)	(5,440)	3,364	6,199	-	-
Marengo	(6,186)	(9,570)	(385)	6,402	-	-
Marion	(37,136)	(32,292)	(22,898)	4,539	-	-
Marshall	(14,008)	(9,246)	(2,266)	4,527	-	· -
Mobile	(23,432)	(14,126)	(883)	8,854	-	· -
Monroe	(31,948)	(34,096)	(18,037)	5,995	-	· -
Montgomery	(19,127)	(9,632)	4,781	5,844	-	-
Morgan	(12,609)	(7,306)	(1,770)	5,047	-	-
Perry	(13,987)	(7,466)	(1,372)	3,997	-	-
Pickens	(13,441)	(7,495)	(1,833)	3,670	-	-
Pike	(15,338)	(7,877)	(16)	5,296	-	-
Randolph	(9,109)	(5,396)	(134)	3,534	-	· -
Russell	(8,920)	(3,732)	3,235	4,948	-	· -
Shelby	(35,114)	(28,078)	(4,708)	5,688	-	-
St. Clair	(11,606)	(6,137)	(49)	5,208	-	-
Sumter	(9,896)	(8,278)	(2,065)	4,183	-	· -
Talladega	(12,739)	(7,621)	(1,262)	4,869	-	-
Tallapoosa	(9,653)	6,874	19,496	3,522	-	-
Tuscaloosa	(34,146)	(20,936)	(8,182)	7,953	-	
Walker	(7,766)	(3,202)	2,923	4,354	-	
Washington	(16,583)	(11,199)	(2,499)	5,946	-	
Wilcox	(18,398)	(14,279)	(7,616)	3,896	-	
Winston	(14,953)	(5,758)	1,264	<u>6,186</u>	-	-
Total for State Support						
Provided to the Counties	<u>\$ (1,108,052)</u>	<u>\$ (757,679)</u>	<u>\$ (195,685)</u>	\$ 363,561	\$ -	\$ -
State Employer	(4,535,558)	(2,347,267)	620,953	2,167,550	-	
Total State of Alabama	<u>\$ (5,643,610)</u>	<u>\$ (3,104,946)</u>	\$ 425,268	\$ 2,531,111	\$ -	\$ -



#### **SCHEDULE D**

# SUMMARY OF MAIN PLAN PROVISIONS AS INTERPRETED FOR ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING VALUATION PURPOSES

The Judicial Retirement Fund was established September 18, 1973. This valuation included amendments to the Fund effective through the valuation date. There is a new tier of benefits (Tier II) for all justices, judges and circuit clerks elected or appointed on or after November 8, 2016. In addition, there is a new tier of benefits (District Attorneys' Plan) for all District Attorneys elected or appointed on or after November 8, 2016. The following summary describes the main benefit and contribution provisions of the Fund as interpreted for the valuation.

#### Membership

Any justice of the Supreme Court, judge of the Court of Civil Appeals, judge of the Court of Criminal Appeals, judge of the Circuit Court or officeholder of any newly created judicial office receiving compensation from the State treasury became a member of the fund if he was holding office on the effective date of the Act and elected to come under its provisions. Any such justice or judge elected or appointed to office after the effective date of the Act or any district or probate judge elected or appointed to office after October 10, 1975 or October 1, 1976, respectively, automatically becomes a member. Any circuit clerk or district attorney elected or appointed on or after November 8, 2016 automatically becomes a member. Certain other district and probate judges as well as certain former county court judges, district attorneys or assistant district attorneys serving as circuit judges and certain supernumerary judges and justices could also elect to become members.

#### **Average Final Compensation**

The average compensation of a Tier II (Group 3) or District Attorney member for the 5 highest years in the last 10 years of credited service.

#### **Credited Service**

Credited service is service as a member plus certain periods of previous service credited in accordance with the provisions of the Act.



#### **Benefits**

#### Service Retirement Benefit

#### Condition for Benefit

#### Tier I (Groups 1 and 2):

A retirement benefit is payable upon the request of any member who has:

- Completed 12 years of credited service and attained age 65, or
- Completed 15 years of credited service and whose age plus service equals or exceeds 77, or
- Completed at least 18 years of credited service or three full terms as a judge or justice, or
- Completed 10 years of credited service and attained age 70

However, a judge who became a member on or after July 30, 1979 or who is a district or probate judge must meet the following age and service requirement combinations in order to be eligible to retire:

- Completed 12 years of credited service and attained age 65, or
- Completed at least 15 years of credited service and attained age 60, and whose age plus service equals or exceeds 77, or
- Completed 10 years of credited service and attained age 70, or
- Completed 25 years of credited service (or completed 24 years of credited service provided the member purchases one year of service prior to retirement) regardless of age

#### Tier II (Group 3) and District Attorneys:

Completed 10 years of service and attained age 62.

#### Amount of Benefit

#### Tier I (Groups 1 and 2):

The service retirement benefit for a member is equal to:

- (a) For a circuit or appellate judge, who was a member prior to July 30, 1979, 75% of the salary prescribed by law for the position from which the member retires.
- (b) For a circuit or appellate judge who became a member on or after July 30, 1979, 5% of the member's salary at the time of separation from service.
- (c) For a district judge, 75% of the position's salary immediately prior to retirement.
- (d) For a probate judge, 75% of the member's salary at the time of separation from service.

#### Tier II (Group 3) and District Attorneys:

The service retirement benefit for a member is equal to:

- (a) For a member who is a judge with years of service less than 18 years, 4% of average final compensation multiplied by years of credited service; for a member who is a judge with 18 or more years of service, 75% of average final compensation, not to exceed 75% of average final compensation.
- (b) For a member who is a clerk or district attorney, 3% of average final compensation multiplied by years of credited service, not to exceed 80% of average final compensation.



#### Disability Retirement Benefit

Condition for Benefit

A disability retirement benefit is payable to any member who becomes permanently, physically or mentally, unable to carry out his duties on a full-time basis, provided the member has completed five or more years of credited service. (ten years for new tier members)

#### Amount of Benefit

#### Tier I (Groups 1 and 2):

- (a) The disability retirement benefit for a member other than a district or probate judge who was a member prior to July 30, 1979 is equal to 25% of the salary prescribed by law for the position from which the member retires on disability plus 10% of such salary for each year of credited service in excess of five years. The disability retirement benefit is subject to a minimum of 30% and a maximum of 75% of such salary.
- (b) The disability retirement benefit for a judge who became a member on or after July 30, 1979 or who is a district or probate judge is equal to 25% of his salary immediately prior to retirement plus 10% of such salary for each year of credited service in excess of five years. The disability retirement benefit is subject to a minimum of 30% and a maximum of 75% of such salary.

#### Tier II (Group 3) and District Attorneys:

- (a) For a member who is a judge with years of service less than 18 years, 4% of average final compensation multiplied by years of credited service; for a member who is a judge with 18 or more years of service, 75% of average final compensation, not to exceed 75% of average final compensation.
- (b) For a member who is a clerk or district attorney, 3% of average final compensation multiplied by years of credited service, not to exceed 80% of average final compensation.

#### Spouse's Benefit

#### Tier I (Groups 1 and 2):

Condition for Benefit

Upon the death of an active, inactive or retired member with at least 5 years of credited service, a death benefit is payable to the member's spouse.

Amount of Benefit

The death benefit payable to the spouse of a judge other than a district or probate judge consists of a yearly benefit equal to 3% of the salary prescribed by law for the position of the former member for each year of service, not to exceed 30% of such salary.

The death benefit payable to the spouse of a district judge consists of a yearly benefit equal to 3% of the position's salary prescribed by law at the time of death for each year of service not to exceed 30% of such salary.

The death benefit payable to the spouse of a probate judge is a yearly benefit equal to the greater of \$480 for each year of credited service to a maximum of 10 years, or 3% of the member's salary at the time of separation from service for each year of credited service not to exceed 30% of such salary.

The benefit is payable for the spouse's life or until his or her remarriage.



#### Death in Active Service Benefit

#### Tier II (Group 3) and District Attorneys:

#### Amount of Benefit

- (a) In the event of the death of a member who is eligible for service retirement, the designated beneficiary may elect: (1) to exercise option 3 as defined below under "Special Privileges at Retirement – All Employees" or (2) to receive a return of member contributions and total interest earned plus a death benefit payable from the preretirement death benefit fund equal to the salary on which the member made retirement contributions for the previous fiscal year (October 1 – September 30).
- (b) In the event of the death of a member who is not eligible for retirement, the designated beneficiary shall receive the accumulated contributions not to exceed \$5,000 or the accumulated contributions of the member plus an additional death benefit payable from the pre-retirement death benefit payable from the pre- retirement death benefit fund equal to the salary on which their retirement contributions were made for the previous fiscal year. (October 1- September 30)

#### Benefit Payable on Separation from Service

If a member terminates service and elects not to withdraw his contributions and accrued interest from the Fund, he is eligible to receive any of the benefits for which he has sufficient credited service upon reaching an eligible retirement age.

A member terminating service before reaching eligibility for retirement benefits may elect to receive a return of contributions and accrued interest. "Regular Interest" is 4% which is the rate adopted by the Board and applied to the balance in each member's account every year; however, if a member receives a refund of contributions, the interest rate applied to the refund is lower than the 4% regular rate (Based on Section 36-27-16.3(c)(1)).

#### **Contributions**

#### By Members

#### Tier I (Groups 1 and 2):

Prior to October 1, 2011, each member contributed 6.0% of salary.

Beginning October 1, 2011, each member contributed 8.25% of salary.

Beginning October 1, 2012, each member contributes 8.50% of salary.

#### Tier II (Group 3) and District Attorneys:

Each Tier II member and District Attorney member contributes 8.50% of salary.

If positive investment performance results in a decrease in the total contribution rate paid by employers and employees participating in the Fund, the Retirement Systems of Alabama shall first reduce the employee contribution rate.

#### By State

The State makes contributions which, in addition to the members' contributions, are sufficient to carry out the provisions of the Act.



#### **Special Privileges at Retirement**

#### Tier II (Group 3) and District Attorneys:

In lieu of the full retirement allowance, any member may, at retirement, elect to receive a reduced retirement allowance equal in value to the full allowance, with the provision that:

Option 1. If the member dies before the annuity payments equal or exceed the present value of the member's annuity at the date of retirement, the balance is paid to a designated beneficiary or to the estate, or

Option 2. After the member's death, the member's allowance is continued throughout the life of the designated beneficiary, or

Option 3. After the member's death, one half of the member's allowance is continued throughout the life of the designated beneficiary, or

Option 4. Some other benefit is paid either to the member or to the designated beneficiary provided such benefit, together with the reduced retirement allowance, is of equivalent actuarial value to his retirement allowance and is approved by the Board of Control.



#### **SCHEDULE E**

#### STATEMENT OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

The assumptions and methods used in the valuation are based on the actuarial experience study prepared as of September 30, 2015 and adopted by the Board on September 29, 2016 and on an investment rate of return of 7.65% adopted by the Board on December 19, 2018.

Investment Rate of Return: 7.65% per annum, compounded annually, including inflation at 2.75%.

**Salary Increases**: 3.5% per annum for less than 14 years of service and 3.0% for 14 or greater years of service, compounded annually, including wage inflation at 3.00%.

**Separations Before Retirement**: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of withdrawal, death and disability are as follows:

	Annual Rate of						
	Withdrawal	Deatl	Death*				
<u>Age</u>		<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>				
30 35 40 45	2.50% 2.50 2.50 2.50	0.0376% 0.0655 0.0914 0.1278	0.0149% 0.0268 0.0399 0.0635	0.020% 0.040 0.068 0.108			
50 55 60 64	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	0.1812 0.2567 0.3815 0.5070	0.0947 0.1371 0.1929 0.2558	0.163 0.250 0.395 0.570			

<sup>\*</sup> Rates of pre-retirement mortality are according to the sex distinct RP-2000 Employee Mortality Table (with the sex distinct RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table for ages over 70) projected with Scale BB to 2020 with an adjustment factor of 90% for males and 60% for females.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Disability rates turn off at retirement eligibility.



#### **Rates of Retirement:**

**Tier I (Groups 1 and 2)**: Between the ages of 55 and 59, 25% of members are assumed to retire in the year when first eligible and 10% in each year thereafter. Between the ages of 60 and 69, 30% of members are assumed to retire in the year when first eligible and 15% in each year thereafter. 30% of the remaining members are assumed to retire each year between age 70 and 74, and all remaining members are assumed to retire at age 75.

#### Tier II (Group 3) and District Attorneys' Plan:

	Jud	Clerks and District Attorneys	
Age	<18 years	≥18 years	<u> </u>
62-69 70-74 75	10% 30% 100%	15%* 30% 100%	10%** 30% 100%
	*An additional 1 to retire at 18 y	5% are assumed ears of service	**An additional 20% are assumed to retire when first eligible for retirement and at 27 years of service.

**Deaths After Retirement**: Rates of mortality for the period after service retirement are according to the sex distinct RP-2000 White Collar Mortality Table projected to 2020 using scale BB and adjusted 115% for males and 112% for females older than age 78. The sex distinct RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table projected to 2020 using scale BB and adjusted 105% for males and 120% for females is used for the period after disability retirement. Representative values of assumed mortality are as follows:

	Service Re	etirement	Disability R	etirement
<u>Age</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
55	0.3575%	0.2339%	3.5044%	1.7959%
60	0.5579	0.3825	3.8359	2.1434
65	0.9991	0.6795	4.1382	2.6417
70	1.6384	1.1928	4.8570	3.5474
75	2.8589	2.0200	6.3692	4.9231
80	5.0501	3.7900	8.4883	6.8160
85	8.8966	6.5271	10.9897	9.4450
90	16.4327	11.3249	15.4359	13.4706

Percent Married: 85% of active members are assumed to be married with the husband 4 years older than the wife.

Actuarial Method: Individual Entry age normal. Gains and losses are reflected in the unfunded accrued liability.

Assets: Market Value.

Liability for Current Inactive Members: Member Contribution Balance is multiplied by a factor of 1.0.



**Post Retirement Increases:** Allowances of retired members and spouses who receive benefits based on the salaries prescribed by law for the position are assumed to increase by 3.00% per year. The members' actual salaries at retirement are assumed to be equal to the salary prescribed by law for their position.

Benefits Payable upon Separation from Service: Active members who terminated from service prior to becoming eligible for a benefit are assumed to receive a refund of contributions with interest assumed to be 4% per year.



#### SCHEDULE F

# FUNDING POLICY OF THE

# EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM BOARD OF CONTROL FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE JUDICIAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM Effective 9/30/2019

The purpose of the funding policy is to state the overall funding objectives for the Judicial Retirement Fund (Fund), the benchmarks that will be used to measure progress in achieving those goals, and the methods and assumptions that will be employed to develop the benchmarks.

The funding policy reflects the Board's long-term strategy for stability in funding of the plan.

#### I. Funding Objectives

- The goal in requiring employer and member contributions to the Fund is to accumulate sufficient assets during a member's employment to fully finance the benefits the member is expected to receive throughout retirement. In meeting this objective, the Fund will strive to meet the following funding objectives:
- To maintain an increasing funded ratio (ratio of fund actuarial value of assets to actuarial accrued liabilities)
  that reflects a trend of improved actuarial condition. The long-term objective is to attain a funded ratio which
  is consistent with the fiscal health and long-term stability of the Fund.
- To maintain adequate asset levels to finance the benefits promised to members and monitor the future demands for liquidity.
- To develop a pattern of contribution rates expressed as a percentage of member payroll as measured by valuations prepared in accordance with applicable State laws and the principles of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board. In no event will the employer contribution rate be negative.
- To provide intergenerational equity for taxpayers with respect to Fund costs.

#### II. Benchmarks

To track progress in achieving the previously outlined funding objectives, the following benchmarks will be measured annually as of the valuation date. The valuation date is the date that the annual actuarial valuation of the Fund's assets and liabilities is prepared. This date is currently September 30th each year with due recognition that a single year's results may not be indicative of long-term trends:

- Funded ratio The funded ratio, defined as the actuarial value of assets divided by the actuarial accrued liability, should increase over time, before adjustments for changes in benefits, actuarial methods, and/or actuarial assumptions. An open amortization period is one for which the amortization period is recalculated on a yearly basis and the ending date of the amortization period is a variable with each recalculation. A closed amortization period is one which is calculated over a fixed period and at the end of that period, the amount is fully amortized.
- Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)
  - > Transitional UAAL The UAAL established as of the initial valuation date for which this funding policy is adopted shall be known as the Transitional UAAL.
  - > New Incremental UAAL Each subsequent valuation will produce a New Incremental UAAL consisting of all benefit changes, assumption and method changes and experience gains and/or losses that have occurred since the previous valuation.

#### UAAL Amortization Period and Contribution Rates

> The Transitional UAAL will be amortized over a closed period. The closed period shall be the amortization period for the valuation preceding the adoption of the funding policy not to exceed 30 years.



- > Each New Incremental UAAL shall be amortized over a closed 30 year period.
- > Incremental UAAL resulting from plan changes that grant benefit improvements shall be amortized over a period not to exceed 15 years.
- ➤ Employer Normal Contribution Rate the contribution rate determined as of the valuation date each year based on the provisions of Alabama Code Sections 36-27-24 and 12-18-2.
- > In each valuation subsequent to the adoption of this funding policy the required employer contribution rate will be determined by the summation of the employer Normal Contribution Rate, a contribution rate for administrative expenses, the individual amortization rate for each of the New Incremental UAAL bases, the amortization rate for the Transitional UAAL.

#### **III. Methods and Assumptions**

The actuarial funding method used to develop the benchmarks will be the Entry Age Normal (EAN) actuarial cost method. The actuarial methods and assumptions used will be those last adopted by the Board based upon the advice and recommendation of the actuary including the Interest Smoothing methodology. The actuary shall conduct an investigation into the Fund's experience at least every five years and utilize the results of the investigation to form the basis for those recommendations which shall include the Interest Smoothing Methodology.

#### **IV. Funding Policy Progress**

The Board will periodically have projections of funded status performed to assess the current and expected future progress towards the overall funding goal